INFO	RMATION DISCLOSUR	Attorney Docket No.: 8046-1041			Application No.: 10/643,864			
PE	IN AN APPLICATIO	Applicant: Masayuki NAKAE et al.						
0 8 2007			Filing Date: August 20, 2003			Group Art Unit: 2134		
	<i>#</i>	IIS PATEI	NT DOCUMENTS					
ADEMie Initial	Document Number	Date			Class	Subclass	Filing date	
				\dashv	\dashv			
·								
								-
					-			
		FOREIGN PA	TENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Country	Country		Subclass		
initiai	WO 01/84285	11/08/2001	INTERNATIONAL			-	Yes	No
	JP 10-164064	06/19/1998	3 JAPAN	Appl	ican	ts sta	teme	
	JP 2002-152281	05/24/2002			vanc		JP	10-1
	JP 2003-258910	09/12/2003	JAPAN (with English abstract)	JP 2	002-	152281		
						-	1	
							1	
							1	
			Author, Title, Date, Pertining the System Log Collection		<u> </u>			
			essing Academic Research					
	ASAKA et al., "Remote Discriminant Analysis"	Attack Detection	n Method in IDA: MLSI-Ba nation Communication Aca cement of Releva	sed In	rusion Treatis	Detection se Journal	with (J85-E	3),
	ANDOU et al., "Increas No. 23, pp. 125-143.	sing Security with Statemen	Free Software", Nikkei Int nt of Relevance	emet)	rechno	logy, May	22, 19	99,
	TAGUCHI et al., 6G-4.	Investigation of tonal Symposium	the Log Collection Function Treatise Collection (3), Oo	which	Focus	sed on Tra pp 8-5№	ces, 5	7 th PHS.CE
								-
	FR: pher Brown/		DATE CONSIDERED					

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.

^{*} Abstract provided for the Examiner's convenience

NAKAE et al. U. S. Application No. 10/643,864 Our Ref. 8046-1041

Reason A Claims 1, 2, 6, 11, and 22-27

Remarks:

In Citation 1, for the purpose of invasion, reference is made to making a collection which includes a network connection accompanying process execution: file input/output, and events relating to process (reduction), including appearance and disappearance related (new process ID).

Claims 3, 4, 7-9, 12-14 Citations 1 and 2

Detecting invasion according to conformance with known invasive patterns is already known as recorded (in the left column of p. 61) in Citation 2.

Claims 5, 10 and 15 Citations 1-3

Remarks:

For example, establishing conditions relating to an access source relative to the file input/output process, is already known, as recorded (in the right column of p. 137) in Citation 3, and as a rule there is nothing exceptional recognized corresponding to the conditions and process relating to the access source.

Claims 28:39 Citations 1:4

Remarks:

As recorded in Citation 4, controlling access relative to an attack is already known.

Reason B Claim 2 Application 5

Remarks:

There is no exceptional difference in comparison with the claim of [0025] of the following application 5.

Reference Citation List

- Takada, Kan et al., 98-CSEC-1-9, Concerning the System Log Collection Function Which Considers Inappropriate Accessi Information Processing Academic Research Report May 29, 1998; Vol. 98 No. 54, pp. 47-52.
- Asaka, Midori et al., Detection Results of a Remote Attack by Traces of Inappropriate Penetration: Electronic Information Communication Academic Treatise Journal (J85-B), January 1, 2002; No. 1, pp. 60-74.
- 3. Andou, I.; Increasing Security With Free Software; Nikkei Internet Technology; May 22, 1999; No. 23; pp. 125 to 143.
- 4. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2002-152281
- Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2002-56913
 (Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2003-258910)

Record of the Examination Results relating to Documents of the Prior Art

- Examined Technical Field: IPC 7th Edition H04L12/00

Documents of the Prior Art:

- 6. Taguchi, Atsushi et al: 6G-4; Investigation of the Log Collection Function which Focused on Traces; 57th (2nd half of 1998): National Symposium Treatise Collection (3): October 7, 1998; p. 3-514 to 3-515.
- 7. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 10-164064
- 8. International Unexamined Patent Application 01/084285

The record of the examination results relating to documents of the prior art does not constitute the grounds for rejection.